

Assessment of institutions involved in the management of EU funds by the respective key requirement

Annex 4.

Summary of Assessment of Key Requirement as at 31.12.2012.												
			KR 1	KR 2	KR 3	KR 4	KR 5	KR 6	KR 7	KR 2	KR 3	KR 4
	EU planned allocated funding EUR	EU planned allocated funding %	Clear definition, allocation and separation of functions between and within the managing authority / intermediate body/ies, Certifying Authority/Payment Authority	Adequate procedures for the selection of operations	Adequate information and strategy to provide guidance to beneficiaries	Adequate management verifications	Adequate audit trail	Reliable accounting, monitoring and financial reporting systems in computerised form	Necessary preventive and corrective action where systemic errors are detected by the audit (art 98.1 of R 1083 and art. 16.3 of R 1828) detected by the audit (art 98.1 of R 1083 and art. 16.3 of R 1828)	Adequate accounting records should be maintained in computerised form of expenditure declared to the Commission	Certifying Authority: Adequate arrangements for the certification of expenditure to be reliable and soundly based (art. 61 b,) c, d) of R 1083) soundly based	Certifying authority: Satisfactory arrangements for keeping an account of amounts recoverable and for recovery of undue payments (art. 61 f) of R 1083 and art. 20.2 of R 1828)
Overall assessment (01.07.2011.-30.06.2012.)												
Overall assessment (01.07.2010.-30.06.2011.)												
MA										N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
IB	MoF*	115 033 499	2,5%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
	MoEcon	184 285 138	4,1%				N.A.					
	LIDA	562 525 422	12,4%									
	MoT	1 162 436 245	25,7%									
	MoW	241 692 439	5,3%									
	SEA											
	MoH	-	-									
	MEPRD	567 933 704	12,5%									
	SRDA	317 867 795	7,0%									
	MoESc	723 796 709	16,0%				N.A.					
	SEDA											
	MoC	-	-									
	SC	11 780 961	0,3%		N.A.							
	SIF											
	CFCA	643 095 722	14,2%									
	Overall IB	4 530 447 634	100,0%									
CA	PA				N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.		N.A.	N.A.
	CA				N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.			

* Assessment under the assessment of the Managing Authority

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 – Works well; only minor improvements needed. There are no deficiencies or only minor deficiencies found. These deficiencies do not have any significant impact on the functioning of the key requirements / authorities / system.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2 – Works, but some improvements are needed. Some deficiencies were found. These deficiencies have a moderate impact on the functioning of the key requirements / authorities / system.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 – Works partially; substantial improvements are needed. Deficiencies were found that have led or may lead to irregularities. The impact on the effective functioning of the key requirements / authorities / system is significant.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4 – Essentially does not work. Numerous deficiencies were found which have led or may lead to irregularities. The impact on the effective functioning of the key requirements / authorities / system is significant – it functions poorly or does not function at all. The deficiencies are systemic and wide-ranging.
N.A.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – not applicable